

### SET 3

- 1) Seems interesting that South didn't ask for aces before blasting. North could have had 17 HCP and no aces.
- 2) Nothing abnormal
- 3) Nothing too abnormal. Couldn't South be bidding 3♥ trying to get to 3NT?
- 4) Nothing abnormal
- 5) Nothing abnormal
- 6) Bidding was not shown and Doctors were declarer
- 7)

Garey: Here South had what most players would consider a near perfect responsive double, but bid 3♣ and caught his partner with equal length in the minors. Most likely bid over the responsive double is 2 spades.

See More Below:

Roger: 3♣ vulnerable seems crazy unless you know partner has only 5 spades and a balanced hand. If he passes, we pick up a part score swing. What would his dbl have been? Maybe a spade raise? If so, his best long term action would be to pass. If dbl is TO then there is no reason to insist on clubs. **Partscore Swing – Potential 2 Imp Gain to USA**

### Board 7. Dealer South. All Vulnerable.

	♠ A K J 7 6		
	♥ 8 4		
	♦ A 5 3		
	♣ A 8 2		
♠ Q T 8 3	♠ 9 2	N	♥ J 7 5 2
♥ A K 9 6 3	♥ J 7 5 2	W	♦ K 8 7 2
♦ J 9	♦ K 8 7 2	E	♣ 7 6 3
♣ Q 5	♣ 7 6 3	S	
	♠ 5 4		
	♥ Q T		
	♦ Q T 6 4		
	♣ K J T 9 4		

Open Room				Closed Room			
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
-	-	-	Pass	-	-	-	Pass
1♥	1♠	Pass	Pass	1♥	1♠	2♥	3♣
Pass				Pass	Pass	Pass	
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
♥K	♥4	♥ <u>5</u>	♥T	♥ <u>A</u>	♥4	♥2	♥T
♥A	♥8	♥7	♥Q	♦ <u>J</u>	♦3	♦K	♦4
♥9	♦3	♥J	♠4	♥K	♥8	♥ <u>5</u>	♥Q
♠3	♠J	♠2	♠ <u>5</u>	♦ <u>9</u>	♦5	♦2	♦T
♠8	♠A	♠9	♣4	♣5	♣2	♣3	♣K
♠T	♠K	♦2	♦4	♣Q	-	-	♣ <u>J</u>
♣Q	♣ <u>2</u>	♣3	♣9				
♠Q	-	-	-				
Contract: 1♠ by N Result: 9 tricks for NS Score for NS: 140				Contract: 3♣ by S Result: 10 tricks for NS Score for NS: 130			

8) Nothing Abnormal

9)

Eddie: #9, club lead gives declarer a problem – Partscore Swing – Potential 7 Imp gain to USA

**Board 9. Dealer North. E-W Vulnerable.**

♠ K 8 6 4 2		♠ T 9 7
♥ Q 4		♥ A T
♦ 7 6		♦ A Q 8 4
♣ Q 8 7 2		♣ J T 4 3
♠ Q J 5	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">             N W     E S           </div>	♠ A 3
♥ K J 9 8 7 5		♥ 6 3 2
♦ K 9		♦ J T 5 3 2
♣ 6 5		♣ A K 9

Open Room				Closed Room			
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
-	Pass	Pass	1♦	-	Pass	1♦	Pass
1♥	x	2♥	Pass	1♥	Pass	1NT	Pass
Pass	Pass			2♣	Pass	2♦	Pass
				2♥	Pass	Pass	Pass
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
♦K	<u>♦7</u>	♦4	♦T	♣5	<u>♣8</u>	♣T	♣K
♥5	♥4	♥A	♥2	♠J	♠2	♠7	<u>♠A</u>
♥K	♥Q	<u>♥T</u>	♥3	♠Q	♠K	♠9	<u>♠3</u>
<u>♥J</u>	♠4	♣3	♥6	♠5	<u>♠4</u>	♠T	♥2
<u>♥9</u>	♦6	♠7	♦2	♣6	♣Q	♣3	<u>♣9</u>
<u>♦9</u>	-	-	-	♥5	<u>♣2</u>	♣4	♣A
				<u>♥7</u>	♥4	♥A	♥3
				♥J	♥Q	<u>♥T</u>	♥6
Contract: 2♥ by W Result: 10 tricks for EW Score for NS: -170				Contract: 2♥ by W Result: 7 tricks for EW Score for NS: 100			

- 8) Nothing abnormal
- 9) Nothing abnormal
- 10) Nothing abnormal
- 11) Nothing abnormal
- 12) Nothing Abnormal
- 13) Nothing Abnormal
- 14) Nothing Abnormal
- 15) Nothing Abnormal
- 16)

Roger: South actually told Eddie that he bid 3♣ because he knew his partner had diamonds only. I know he meant that he could tell by his hand, but he really could not tell from the auction.

South also told Eddie that 3♣ was weak which is what their convention card shows as their agreement. We lost the ruling on 16 because an adjustment would only make sense if they were cheating.

Garey: This is the hand where at the other table they virtually admitted they were cheating. At the end of the hand Eddie asked how he could just bid 3♣ to play and South said he knew his partner had diamonds. 2NT, according to their convention card shows either 6+ diamonds OR 5 diamonds and 5 clubs AND 10-14 HCP or 6 diamonds and 5 card major. If North was 5-5 in the minors he was to pass 3♣, BUT South knew that he wasn't (not by his hand) and that he would be bidding again, hence no urgency yet and something good might happen. It did. USA lost 12 IMP on this hand. This was a real loss of 12 caused by their knowing more about the hand than from the bidding.

Eddie: After auction came back around to me, I looked at North pointing to the 3♣ bid and said weak, since he had been verbalizing all alerts during the quarter. He nodded and bid 3♦ indicating he had the diamond hand. After I now bid 3♣ thinking we could even still have a vulnerable game if responder had a weakish hand, I realized I had been had when the dummy came down. I called director after the hand, telling him what I had been told and the South immediately started yelling no, p/c. Then North outright lied and said yes, that is what he had told me (p/c). The director looked at card and saw that 3♣ was p/c and essentially I had been given correct info although he (the director) was quite incredulous that responder had chosen that bid with his 15-16 count. Mike chimed in that I must have been told that 3♣ was a weakish bid or I would not have bid with my hand which is true because I didn't want to venture into auction at this vulnerability until I knew the opponents did not have values for game and the hand could belong to us. As I pointed out in first email when tray came back to our side, I asked North, "weak?" The most important hand of the whole cheating scandal because North could cough twice to show not short diamonds but only diamonds. Very clever use of their cheating methods to make this unplayable convention so devastating.

Obviously, the cheating worked on this hand because he knew he could go low with only a 3♣ bid because he knew he was going to get another chance in the auction.

Potential 20 imp gain to USA

## Board 16. Dealer West. E-W Vulnerable.

<p>♠ 8</p> <p>♥ J T 9 4 3 2</p> <p>♦ Q 8</p> <p>♣ J 9 7 3</p>	<div style="background-color: black; color: white; padding: 5px; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> <span style="margin-bottom: 5px;">N</span> <span style="margin-bottom: 5px;">W</span> <span style="margin-bottom: 5px;">E</span> <span style="margin-bottom: 5px;">S</span> </div>	<p>♠ J</p> <p>♥ A 8 5</p> <p>♦ A J T 7 4 2</p> <p>♣ Q 8 2</p>	<p>♠ A T 7 6 4 3 2</p> <p>♥ K Q</p> <p>♦ 6 5 3</p> <p>♣ T</p>
<p>♠ K Q 9 5</p> <p>♥ 7 6</p> <p>♦ K 9</p> <p>♣ A K 6 5 4</p>			

Open Room				Closed Room			
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
Pass	1♦	2♠	Pass	Pass	2NT	Pass	3♣
Pass	x	Pass	Pass	Pass	3♦	3♠	x
3♥	Pass	Pass	3♠	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Pass	3NT	Pass	Pass				
Pass							
West	North	East	South	West	North	East	South
♥2	♥5	♥K	♥6	♣3	♣2	♣T	♣A
♥J	♥8	♥Q	♥7	♥2	♥A	♥Q	♥6
♦Q	♦A	♦6	♦9	♠8	♠J	♠A	♠5
♦8	♦4	♦3	♦K	♥3	♦2	♠7	♠9
♣3	♣2	♣T	♣A	♥4	♦4	♠2	♠K
♣9	♣Q	♠7	♣4	♥9	♦7	♠3	♠Q
♥3	♦J	♦5	♣5	♦8	♦T	♦3	♦K
♠8	♠J	♠4	♠5	♦Q	♦A	♦5	♦9
				♥T	♦J	♦6	♣4
				♣7	♣Q	♠4	♣5

Contract: 3NT by N  
 Result: 11 tricks for NS  
 Score for NS: 460

Contract: 3♠x by E  
 Result: 5 tricks for EW  
 Score for NS: 1100

640 points difference for GERMANY, **12 IMPs** for **GERMANY**